

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 12 Number 5 (2023) Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



### **Original Research Article**

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2023.1205.007

## Adoption of Recommended Cultivation Practices by the Mandarin Growers

N. M. Kale\*, V. S. Ingole, A. S. Tingare, A. H. Khade, N. P. Jangwad and S. D. Kokate

Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola – 444 104, Maharashtra, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Adoption, Cultivation Practices, Mandarin Growers, *Citrus reticulata* 

Article Info

*Received:* 08 April 2023 *Accepted:* 05 May 2023 *Available Online:* 10 May 2023 The present study was conducted in Chandur Bazar and Achalpur talukas of Amravati district of Maharashtra state. The sample of study comprised of 120 mandarin growers purposively selected from six villages of each Chandur Bazar and Achalpur taluka of Amravati district. The salient findings of the present study revealed that 65.83 per cent of respondents belonged to medium category of adoption about recommended technologies of mandarin. The findings of correlation analysis revealed that characteristics such as education, annual income, extension contact innovativeness and risk preference were having positively significant with knowledge and adoption. As far as constraints in adoption of recommended technologies of mandarin are concerned, all of respondents (100%) faced the constraint of non availability market storage facility and location of research station at large distance, followed by 96.67 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of high cost of labour for orchard operation. Majority (94.17%) of the respondents faced the constraints of high cost of transportation. It was observed that 91.67 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of complexity of message and difficulty in its interpretation. Near about 87.50 per cent of the respondent faced the constraint of lacking of training activities. 83.33 per cent of the respondents faced the constraint of high wages for skilled labour to perform various operation on farm. Nearly 57.00 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of lack of awareness about latest technologies used in mandarin orchard. Hence this research study implied that extension functionaries have great scope to improve the adoption level of recommended technologies suggested by the Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola in study area.

### Introduction

Mandarin is the most common among the citrus fruit occupying nearly two-third of the world total area. The leading mandarin producers are the united states, Brazil, Central and South America South Africa, Japan, China, India and Mediterranean country. Mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) is most common among citrus grown in India. Citrus industry in India is the third largest industry of the country after mango and banana. India rank ninth among top Mandarin producing countries contributing 3% to the world's total Mandarin production. Only 1.72% of country production is exported. Area under Mandarin in India was 404 thousand Ha and production was 4964 thousand MT during year 2018-19. The leading producer's states of Mandarin are Punjab, Maharashtra, Assam,

Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Meghalaya, Tripura, Haryana, Rajasthan and West Bengal.

Mandarin occupies the second position among all fruits cultivated in Maharashtra. Thus, there is a great scope of increasing the fruit production by increasing the productivity besides bringing more area under fruits crops. At the first position in the list of top Mandarin producing states is the state of Maharashtra. The city of Nagpur is especially known for its production of Mandarin. It is known the Orange city because of its world-famous mandarins which are grown in this city. These Mandarin which area type of Mandarin are specifically best suited for the regions such as Vidarbha. Apart from Nagpur the other regions where Mandarin are grown in Maharashtra are Akola, Amravati, and Wardha.

The Mandarin is locally knows as *Nagpuri Santra* has reputation and it is the best of its kinds grown in India. The regular excessive bearing in the shallow soil exhaust Nagpuri Mandarin plants, earlier than those in deep soil. The Mandarin plants respond well to regular and timely supply of fertilizers with proper irrigation schedule in shallow soils. The demands for Mandarin are very high because of its nutritive value. It is very rich in vitamin C (ascorbic acid), fruit sugars and in addition to this it also contains vitamin A and B. Juice content is abundant, yellow in colour with excellent flavour, sweet in taste.

Since from last few years, horticulture in India has changed from traditional to modern. Mandarin production technology continuously changed and there is new addition in technology from outcome of an applied science. Various technologies have recommended by various agricultural universities to increase the Mandarin production. But the production of Mandarin is very low still now. There are certain reasons behind low production of Mandarin which may be inadequacy and implementation of useful information resulting in non-adoption of recommended Mandarin production practices by Mandarin growers. It is seen that there is the large gap between yield of Mandarin orchard recorded at research farm and its production at farmer's field. The reason behind the low production of Mandarin fruits may be due to non-adoption or poor adoption of recommended technologies of Mandarin by farmers which may be due to they are unaware about latest recommended technology and also there may be certain problems in its adoption at their own farm and also there may be some another component which may be affecting the adoption of recommended technologies of Mandarin cultivation. Hence this research study was undertaken with the following objectives.

To study the adoption of mandarin growers about recommended technologies.

To study the relationship between the profile of mandarin growers with their adoption about recommended technologies.

To identify the constraints of mandarin growers in adoption of recommended technologies

## Materials and Methods

For the present study detailed methodology was developed for studying various aspects in line of the specific objectives. An exploratory design of social research was used for present study. The present study was conducted in Amravati district of Maharashtra state considering maximum area under mandarin. Out of 14 talukas in Amravati district two talukas, namely Chandur Bazar and Achalpur were purposively selected for study. From the selected talukas 12 villages were selected having area under mandarin. From each selected village, 10 mandarin growers were selected purposively for the present study. Thus, total 120 respondents were purposively selected for present study.

### Adoption

Adoption refers to the decision the make full use of innovation as the best course of action available. In

the present study, it was operationalized as the degree to which the extent of actual use of recommended technologies by mandarin growers. The list of statements regarding recommended technologies of mandarin by Dr. PDKV, Akola was selected. Based on 3 point continuum i.e. full adoption, partial adoption and no adoption, a numerical score of 2 for full adoption, 1 for partial adoption and 0 for no adoption for each practice was assigned. The total score obtained by the respondent from all the technologies was adoption score of an individual respondent.

Finally this raw adoption score obtained by an individual respondent was converted into adoption index as below

Adoption Index Obtained adoption score = ------ x 100 Obtainable adoption score

### **Results and Discussion**

# Adoption of Mandarin growers about recommended technologies

Technology wise adoption of Mandarin growers about recommended technologies shown in Table 1.

It is revealed from Table 1 that the complete adoption of respondents regarding recommended technologies of mandarin include micro irrigation (92.50%), choice of Ambia bahar (91.67%), application of micronutrients (85.83%), digging of pit (85.00%), per plant recommendation (83.33%), use of jambheri root stock (79.17%), use of organic fertilizer (75.00%), measures to control fruit drops (75.00%), measures to control leaf minor (70.83%), measures to control gummosis (70.00%), removal of dead wood (62.50%), measures to control dieback (58.33%), measures to control citrus psylla (41.67%). It is observed from Table 1 that the partial adoption of respondents regarding recommended technologies of mandarin include rejuvenation of old orchard (26.67%), rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard (25.00%), measures to control for mites (16.67%), measures to control dieback (8.33%), use of grass for mulching (10.00%), use of growth regulator (5.84%), use of bio fertilizer (2.50%).

# **Overall adoption of the respondents about recommended technologies of mandarin**

It is seen in Table 2 that majority of respondents i.e. 65.83 per cent had possessed medium level of adoption, followed by 12.50 per cent had possessed low level of adoption and 21.67 per cent had possessed high level of adoption about recommended technologies of mandarin.

Thus, it is concluded that majority of the respondents i.e. 65.83 per cent had medium level of adoption.

Similar types of finding were observed by More (2016); Kadu (2016) and Wankhede (2016).

# Relationship between selected characteristics of respondents with their adoption

The coefficients of correlation of the selected characteristics with adoption of respondents about recommended technologies have been furnished in Table 3.

It is noted in Table 3 that the education, extension contact, innovativeness and risk preference were found positive and highly significant with adoption at 0.01 per cent level of probability, whereas annual income had positively significant with adoption at 0.05 per cent level of probability hence, null hypothesis  $(H_0)$  is rejected in this case. The positive significant relationship shows that when the level of the above variables viz. education, annual income, innovativeness extension contact. and risk preference increases, then the adoption of the respondents about recommended technologies will also increase.

Table.1 Then the respondents were classified into three categories *i.e.*, low, medium and high as follows.

Sl. No.	Adoption	Index range	
1	Low	Up to 33.33	
2	Medium	33.34 to 66.66	
3	High	Above 66.66	

**Table.2** Distribution of the respondents according to their technology wise adoption about recommended technologies of mandarin

Sl. No.	Practices	Respondents (n=120)		
		Full Partial No		No
		adoption	adoption	Adoption
		Freq.	Freq.	Freq.
		(%)	(%)	(%)
1	Digging of pit $(1m \times 1m \times 1m)$	102	12	06
		(85.00)	(10.00)	(05.00)
2	Optimum plant spacing (6m×6m)	91	15	14
		(75.83)	(12.50)	(11.67)
3	Use of Jambheri root stock	95	10	15
		(79.17)	(8.33)	(12.50)
4	Use of Rangpur lime root stock	15	10	95
		(12.50)	(8.33)	(79.17)
5	Use of organic fertilizers	90	0	30
	-	(75.00)	(0.00)	(25.00)
6	Use of bio-fertilizers	32	03	85
		(26.66)	(2.50)	(70.84)
7	Use of micro-nutrients	103	12	5
		(85.83)	(10.00)	(4.17)
8	Use of growth regulators	33	07	80
		(27.50)	(5.84)	(60.66)
9	Use of Bordeaux paste before rainy season	24	12	84
		(20.00)	(10.00)	(70.00)
10	Use of Bordeaux paste after rainy season	84	12	24
		(70.00)	(10.00)	(20.00)
11	Double ring method to irrigate	09	0	111
		(7.50)	(0.00)	(92.50)
12	Micro-irrigation	111	0	9
		(92.50)	(00.00)	(7.50)
13	HDP (High density planting) method	00	00	120
		(00.00)	(00.00)	(100.00)
14	Use of grass for mulching	26	12	82
		(21.67)	(10.00)	(68.33)
15	Use of polythene for mulching	32	10	78
		(26.67)	(8.33)	(65.00)

16         Production of 800-1200 fruits as per recommendation to per plant for better quality         100         00         20           17         Choice of Amba Bahar         110         00         10           18         Choice of Mrig Bahar         20         00         100           19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (58.33)         (8.33)         (33.33)         (33.33)           24         Measures to control dieback         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00			100		
17         Choice of Amba Bahar         110         00         10           18         Choice of Mrig Bahar         20         00         100           19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           20         00         10         20         00.000         (8.33)           19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           (10.00)         (26.67)         (63.33)         (16.67)           20         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         10         00         110           21         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           (41.67)         (10.00)         (48.33)         (33.33)           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (58.33)         (83.33)         (20.83)         (33.33)           25         Removal of d	16	Production of 800-1200 fruits as per	100	00	20
Image: second			× ,	× /	```'
18         Choice of Mrig Bahar         20         00         100           19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (58.33)         (8.33)         (33.33)         (33.33)           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         (10.00)         (43.33)           27         Fruit thinning by and<	17	Choice of Amba Bahar	110		10
(16.67) $(00.00)$ $(83.33)$ 19Measures to control fruit drop901020 $(75.00)$ $(8.33)$ $(16.67)$ $(16.67)$ 20Rejuvenation of old orchard1232 $76$ $(10.00)$ $(26.67)$ $(63.33)$ $(63.33)$ 21Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard1430 $76$ $(11.67)$ $(25.00)$ $(63.33)$ $(00.00)$ $(91.67)$ 23Measures to control alternate host10 $00$ $110$ $(8.33)$ $(00.00)$ $(91.67)$ $258$ 24Measures to control citrus psylla $50$ $12$ $58$ $(41.67)$ $(10.00)$ $(48.33)$ 24Measures to control dieback $70$ $10$ $40$ $(58.33)$ $(8.33)$ $(33.33)$ $(20.83)$ 25Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim $75$ $20$ $25$ 26Fruit thinning by hand $00$ $00$ $112$ $(66.77)$ $(00.00)$ $(100.00)$ $(20.83)$ 28Measures to control leaf minor $85$ $10$ $25$ 29Measures to control for mites $60$ $20$ $40$ $(50.00)$ $(16.67)$ $(03.33)$ $(20.83)$ 30Measures to control leaf minor $85$ $10$ $25$ $(70.83)$ $(8.33)$ $(20.83)$ $(20.83)$ $(20.00)$ $(16.67)$ $(33.33)$ $(20.83)$			, , ,	· · · ·	, ,
19         Measures to control fruit drop         90         10         20           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           (41.67)         (10.00)         (48.33)         (33.33)           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (58.33)         (62.50)         (16.67)         (20.83)           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         (00.00)         (00.00)         (100.00)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8	18	Choice of Mrig Bahar	20		100
Image: constraint of the second sec			(16.67)	(00.00)	(83.33)
20         Rejuvenation of old orchard         12         32         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (41.67)         (10.00)         (48.33)         (33.33)           24         Measures to control dieback         75         20         25           Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         (10.00)           (00.00)         (00.00)         (100.00)         (20.83)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           (6.67)         (00.00)         (93.33)         (20.83)         (20.83)           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25 <th>19</th> <th>Measures to control fruit drop</th> <th>90</th> <th>10</th> <th>20</th>	19	Measures to control fruit drop	90	10	20
Image: Constraint of the second of			(75.00)	(8.33)	(16.67)
21         Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected orchard         14         30         76           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         12         (20.83)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         12         (20.83)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         120         (00.00)           (00.00)         (00.00)         (00.00)         (100.00)         (100.00)         (100.00)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112         (6.67)         (00.00)         (93.33)           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25         (70.83)         (8.33)         (20.83)	20	Rejuvenation of old orchard	12	32	76
orchard         (11.67)         (25.00)         (63.33)           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         112           26         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         85         10         25           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (20.83)         (20.83)			(10.00)	(26.67)	(63.33)
orchard         (11.67)         (25.00)         (63.33)           22         Measures to control alternate host         10         00         110           23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         112           26         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         85         10         25           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (20.83)         (20.83)	21	Rejuvenation of phytophothora infected	14	30	76
Image: Control of the original stress of the		orchard	(11.67)	(25.00)	(63.33)
23         Measures to control citrus psylla         50         12         58           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         12         (10.00)           27         Fruit thinning by eof Ethrel         8         0         120           27         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)         (33.33)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24	22	Measures to control alternate host	10	00	110
1         (41.67)         (10.00)         (48.33)           24         Measures to control dieback         70         10         40           (58.33)         (8.33)         (33.33)         (33.33)           25         Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim         75         20         25           26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         (20.83)           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           (6.67)         (00.00)         (93.33)         (20.83)           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           (70.83)         (8.33)         (20.83)         (20.83)           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)         (20.83)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24			(8.33)	(00.00)	(91.67)
24       Measures to control dieback       70       10       40         25       Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim       75       20       25         26       Fruit thinning by hand       00       00       (10.67)       (20.83)         27       Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel       8       0       112         (6.67)       (00.00)       (00.00)       (93.33)         28       Measures to control leaf minor       85       10       25         (70.83)       (8.33)       (20.83)       (20.83)         29       Measures to control for mites       60       20       40         (50.00)       (16.67)       (33.33)       (20.83)         30       Measures to control Gummosis       84       12       24	23	Measures to control citrus psylla	50	12	58
1000000000000000000000000000000000000			(41.67)	(10.00)	(48.33)
25       Removal of dead woods after every harvesting and spray of carbendazim       75       20       25         26       Fruit thinning by hand       00       00       120         27       Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel       8       0       112         28       Measures to control leaf minor       85       10       25         29       Measures to control for mites       60       20       40         30       Measures to control Gummosis       84       12       24	24	Measures to control dieback	70	10	40
and spray of carbendazim       (62.50)       (16.67)       (20.83)         26       Fruit thinning by hand       00       00       120         (00.00)       (00.00)       (100.00)       (100.00)         27       Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel       8       0       112         (6.67)       (00.00)       (93.33)       (93.33)         28       Measures to control leaf minor       85       10       25         (70.83)       (8.33)       (20.83)       (20.83)         29       Measures to control for mites       60       20       40         (50.00)       (16.67)       (33.33)       (33.33)         30       Measures to control Gummosis       84       12       24			(58.33)	(8.33)	(33.33)
26         Fruit thinning by hand         00         00         120           27         Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel         8         0         112           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           (70.83)         (8.33)         (20.83)           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)         30         30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24	25	Removal of dead woods after every harvesting	75	20	25
Image: Constraint of the		and spray of carbendazim	(62.50)	(16.67)	(20.83)
Image: Constraint of the					
27       Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel       8       0       112         (6.67)       (00.00)       (93.33)         28       Measures to control leaf minor       85       10       25         (70.83)       (8.33)       (20.83)         29       Measures to control for mites       60       20       40         (50.00)       (16.67)       (33.33)         30       Measures to control Gummosis       84       12       24	26	Fruit thinning by hand	00	00	120
1         6.67)         (00.00)         (93.33)           28         Measures to control leaf minor         85         10         25           (70.83)         (8.33)         (20.83)           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)         (33.33)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24			(00.00)	(00.00)	(100.00)
28       Measures to control leaf minor       85       10       25         (70.83)       (8.33)       (20.83)         29       Measures to control for mites       60       20       40         (50.00)       (16.67)       (33.33)       (33.33)         30       Measures to control Gummosis       84       12       24	27	Fruit thinning by use of Ethrel	8	-	112
Image: Constraint of the system         (70.83)         (8.33)         (20.83)           29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)         (33.33)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24			(6.67)	(00.00)	(93.33)
29         Measures to control for mites         60         20         40           (50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24	28	Measures to control leaf minor	85	10	-
Image: 10 style="text-align: left;">(50.00)         (16.67)         (33.33)           30         Measures to control Gummosis         84         12         24			(70.83)	(8.33)	(20.83)
30Measures to control Gummosis841224	29	Measures to control for mites	60	20	40
			(50.00)	(16.67)	(33.33)
(70.00) (10.00) (20.00)	30	Measures to control Gummosis	84	12	24
			(70.00)	(10.00)	(20.00)

(Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage)

# **Table.3** Distribution of respondents according to their overall adoption about recommended technologies of mandarin

Sl. No.	Adoption	Respondents (n=120)		
		Frequency	Percentage	
1	Low	15	12.50	
2	Medium	79	65.83	
3	High	26	21.67	
	Total	120	100.00	

Sl. No.	Variables	'r'values
1	Education	0.5250**
2	Land holding	$0.0287^{NS}$
3	Irrigation source	-0.0185 <sup>NS</sup>
4	Area under orchard	0.1011 <sup>NS</sup>
5	Method of irrigation	0.1407 <sup>NS</sup>
6	Annual income	0.1850*
7	Extension contact	0.5143**
8	Innovativeness	0.3856**
9	Risk preference	0.5609**

Table.4 Correlation coefficients of selected characteristics of the respondents with their adoption

\*\*Significant at 0.01 per cent level NS- Non- significant \*Significant at 0.05 per cent level

# **Table.5** Distribution of the respondents according to constraints faced in adoption of recommended technologies

Sl. No.	Constraints	Frequency (n=120)	Percentage
1	High cost of transportation	113	94.17
2	Availability of market storage facility	120	100
3	Complexity of message and difficulty in interpretation	110	91.67
4	Irregularity of field visit by concerned authority	90	75
5	Lack of training	105	87.50
6	High wages for skilled labour	100	83.33
7	High cost of labour	116	96.67
8	Lack of participation in extension activities	80	66.67
9	Lack of awareness about latest technologies	57	47.50
10	Location of research station at large distance	120	100

The variables land holding, irrigation source, area under orchard and method of irrigation were found no relationship with adoption so these were nonsignificant hence, null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is accepted in this case. This finding clearly indicates that more number of the selected independent variables had positively and significant correlated with adoption of recommended technologies of mandarin.

The similar finding were observed by More (2016) and Wankhede (2016) that independent variable namely education, annual income, extension contact significantly related with adoption. More (2016) observed innovativeness had significantly related with adoption. Kadu (2016) observed risk preference had significantly related with adoption.

### Constraints faced by mandarin growers in adoption of recommended technologies of mandarin

It was observed from Table 4 that concerns with the constraints faced by the respondents in adoption of recommended technologies of mandarin shows that all of respondents (100%) faced the constraint of availability market storage facility and location of research station at large distance, followed by 96.67 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of

high cost of labour for orchard operation. Majority (94.17%) of the respondents faced the constraints of High cost of transportation. It was observed that 91.67 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of complexity of message and difficulty in its interpretation.

Near about 87.50 per cent of the respondent faced the constraint of lacking of training activities. 83.33 per cent of the respondents faced the constraint of high wages for skilled labour to perform various operation on farm.

Lack of participation in extension activities is the another constraint faced by more than half (80.00%) of the respondents. Nearly 57.00 per cent of the respondents faced the constraints of lack of awareness about latest technologies used in mandarin orchard.

### References

- Kadu, K. S., 2016. Knowledge and adoption of improved technologies by orange growers, M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis (Unpub.), Krishinagar, Akola (Ms).
- More, A. V. (2016) Knowledge and Adoption of Recommonded Cultivation practices of Banana M.Sc (Agri.) thesis (Unpub.) Dr.PDKV Akola
- Wankhede Y. N. 2016. Soil Testing status of orange orchards in Amravati district, M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis (Unpub.), Dr. P.D.K.V., Krishinagar, Akola (Ms).

#### How to cite this article:

Kale, N. M., V. S. Ingole, A. S. Tingare, A. H. Khade, N. P. Jangwad and Kokate, S. D. 2023. Adoption of Recommended Cultivation Practices by the Mandarin Growers. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 12(05): 51-57. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2023.1205.007</u>